

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/024,164	TALIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kishor Mayekar	1753		

All Participants: Status of Application: _____

(1) Kishor Mayekar. (3) _____.
 (2) K. Brooke Coleman. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 May 2004 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
 Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 1-16

Prior art documents discussed:
 RUSS '467

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner called the Attorney to clarify the subject matter of dissolved metal ions in the amendment of 3/10/04. On 5/25/04, the Attorney proposed further amendments to clarify the applicant's invention which had been entered.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)